

FIRE PROTECTION BUREAU

CERTIFICATION EXAM SELF AUDIT

DATE:		
LOCATION:		
TEST CONTROL OFFICER:		
SENIOR EVALUATOR:		
CERTIFICATION LEVEL:		
Please answer the questions below that are applicable:	YES	NO
Test Control Officer:		
Did Candidates have the proper pre-requisites required to test at this level?		
Was the testing application filled out and submitted to Fire Protection Bureau?		
Was a test proctor arranged with Fire Protection Bureau?		
Did candidates have access to practical evaluation sheets prior to testing?		
Were scenarios developed and approved by the TCO? (working with Senior Evaluator)		
Did the scenarios utilize the appropriate number of task sheets?		
Was a Safety Officer and Test Site Manager identified?		
Was the DOT Emergency Guidebook (2004 edition) available? (It is required for the written exam on FF1/FF2/HzMat Operations)		
Were policies/procedures covering safety explained to the test personnel?		
Were the candidates monitored in a separate holding area?		
Was there an appropriate area made available for candidates for rest and rehabilitation?		
Were the textbooks and other materials kept out of written and practical testing areas?		
Was the pass/fail status of students kept confidential? (scores should <u>not</u> be posted on a board with candidates names associated with them)		
Did the TCO and/or Senior Evaluator confirm scenarios with the testing stations and make sure the equipment was available?		

Self Audit 1 01/06

Test Control Officer (continued):	YES	NO
Did the senior evaluator properly evaluate evaluators once during exam?		
Were safety issues appropriately handled?		
Was a de-briefing conducted at the end of the test?		
Did personnel appropriately adhere to the Incident Management System?		
Was arbitration properly performed on site according to policy?		
Were testing personnel sufficiently identifiable?		
Did the TCO evaluate the Senior evaluator ?		
Senior Evaluator:		
Did the senior evaluator properly identify and confirm a sufficient number of certified evaluators for each station?		
Were the test stations adequately separated so that the testing was not observed by the candidates?		
Was the number of evaluators sufficient for the number of candidates?		
Were evaluators briefed on each station including task steps and scenarios?		
Were evaluators briefed on re-test policy? Note: Candidates should re-test on a failed station with a different evaluator.		
Were evaluators reminded of "no coaching" policy?		
(i.e. do not tell the candidate how to complete the task, no comments such as good job etc., no leading candidate through scenario)		
Did evaluators write defensible comments on the task sheet when a candidate failed?		
Did the evaluators refrain from discussing the failure(s) with the candidate?		
Were same day re-tests scheduled with candidates after the practical testing failures or as appropriate?		
Were safety issues appropriately handled?		
Were the scenarios properly completed by candidates - completion of entire job performance requirement? (i.e. the candidate should complete each scenario as required by the task sheets)		
Was set up and rehearsal conducted with the evaluators prior to the candidate arriving at the test station?		
Did the evaluators behave in a professional manner?		
Were testing and evaluation issues appropriately referred to the TCO?		

Written Test Proctor:		YES	NO
Was the receipt for controlled test mater Fire Protection Bureau?	erials form filled out and submitted to the		
Was the DOT Emergency Guidebook (for the written exam on FF1/FF2/HzM			
Was the written test area sufficient for	the candidates?		
Were the candidates prevented from acmaterials in written/practical testing are	<u> </u>		
Was the written exam properly monito	red?		
Were test packets received complete an confidential and returned properly to the			
Did candidates fill out the appropriate	forms in the packet?		
Did you have a sufficient number of exother paperwork?	cams and associated score sheets and		
Were identifications checked?			
Was test time adhered to?			
Were instructions properly given to car	ndidates prior to testing?		
Were cell phones and other electronics disturbances?	turned off during testing to reduce		
Other:			
Please note the names of evaluators leader to the fire Protection by the Fire Standards, Accreditation	Bureau and would like to be contacted		
Name:	Phone:		

Self Audit 3 01/06